1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	TRACI M. CASEY) DOCKET NO.
4	-vs-) 03-0557
5) ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY)
6	Complaint as to service and) billing in Alton, Illinois.)
7	
8	Springfield, Illinois June 24, 2004
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:00 P.M.
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
12	APPEARANCES:
13	MS. TRACI M. CASEY
14	P.O. Box 4183 Bellflower, California 90707
15	(Appearing pro se via teleconference)
16	MS. MARY SULLIVAN
17	300 North Waterworks Drive Belleville, Illinois 62223
18	(Appearing on behalf of Illinois-American
19	Water Company via teleconference)
20	
21	
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by

1		I N D	E X		
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	(None)				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	EXHIBITS		MARKED) ADMI	TTED
13	(None)				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
3	the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
4	Number 03-0557. This docket concerns a complaint
5	filed by Traci M. Casey against Illinois-American
6	Water Company. The complaint concerns billing for
7	service at 113 East Elm Street, Alton, Illinois.
8	May I have the appearances for the record,
9	please, and if you could state your name, address
10	and phone number, Ms. Casey?
11	MS. CASEY: Okay. Traci M. Casey, P.O. Box
12	4183, Bellflower, California 90707, 626 I mean,
13	939-4555.
14	MS. SULLIVAN: This is Mary Sullivan on behalf
15	of Illinois-American Water Company. My address is
16	300 North Water Works Drive, Belleville, Illinois
17	62223. My phone number is (618) 239-2230.
18	JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. Let the record
19	reflect that there are no others wishing to enter an
20	appearance.
21	Originally this hearing was designed to be

the evidentiary hearing in this matter. However,

- prior to today I had procedural discussions with
 both Ms. Casey and Ms. Sullivan. It appears that
 there is some difficulty in Ms. Casey being able to
 come to Illinois for today. However, given some
 other issues, we decided to leave this as a hearing
- Prior to going on the record just now we
 discussed moving or rather rescheduling the
 evidentiary hearing for July 19 at 9:00 a.m. and
 both of you are able to make that date and time for
 the evidentiary hearing?

date, instead use it as a status hearing.

MS. CASEY: Yes.

- MS. SULLIVAN: On behalf of the Company, yes.
- 14 JUDGE ALBERS: I would just note at this point that I will be very hesitant to further delay the 15 16 evidentiary hearing, given the deadline in this case 17 of September 15, 2004. We need to make sure we get 18 this done, wrapped up before then. So I think any further delays in the evidentiary hearing would 19 20 begin to put into question our ability to do so 21 without having to rush things at the end. So is 22 there any other questions or comments about the

- schedule? 1 2 MS. CASEY: No. 3 MS. SULLIVAN: None. 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Another issue that I believe we 5 need to discuss today is Ms. Casey's interest in calling another witness for which there was no 6 7 prepared testimony submitted pursuant to the 8 scheduling we put in place earlier. Ms. Casey, is that correct, you do intend -- you would like to 9 10 call another witness? 11 MS. CASEY: Yes. 12 JUDGE ALBERS: And, Ms. Sullivan, I believe you 13 were aware of that generally at least? 14 MS. SULLIVAN: I knew that that was something we were going to address at this hearing. 15 16 JUDGE ALBERS: Right. That's all I am asking. 17 MS. SULLIVAN: That's correct. 18 JUDGE ALBERS: Who is the individual, Ms. Casey, that you want to call? 19
- 20 MS. CASEY: Laronna Ingraham (sp) which is my
 21 sister who did a lot of conversating with the water
 22 company. Because after reviewing the documentation

- that was sent to me regarding conversations that
- were had with me, I am not sure if they thought it
- 3 was me or was it her. Because the name of one of
- 4 the Illinois-American water employees, I have no
- 5 knowledge of. The names that I have don't match up
- 6 with one of those names.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Before we go any further,
- 8 Ms. Sullivan, did you have any comment on her desire
- 9 to call an additional witness?
- MS. SULLIVAN: I guess to the extent that I
- 11 would like to know what specifically she will be
- 12 testifying regarding. Previously we had sent data
- requests asking for anyone who had, either Ms. Casey
- herself or anyone on her behalf, who had discussions
- and no one was disclosed. I did not receive any
- documents or copies of any documents.
- MS. CASEY: There is no documents. It is just
- 18 that she was my agent and her name for the purposes
- of reconnect or disconnect, she was the person at
- 20 the site both times. And the original conversation
- of the water being shut off, that was given to her,
- not to myself. I didn't talk to the water company

- 1 til after the fact.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Ms. Sullivan, does that --
- MS. SULLIVAN: Quickly through our data
- 4 request, I think I did specifically ask for any oral
- 5 conversations that occurred from agents, either
- 6 herself or her agents, with either plumbers or the
- 7 company and again that information wasn't disclosed.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I am concerned as well
- 9 about adding another witness at this point since we
- went through the steps of having the prepared
- 11 testimony submitted previously. We did not receive
- 12 any testimony submitted on behalf of the complainant
- other than from Ms. Casey.
- MS. CASEY: It will be kind of hard for me to
- 15 defend what someone said that they said to me
- 16 because, like I said, one of the Illinois-American
- water employees who said they spoke with me, I have
- 18 no knowledge as to who that is. So they could have
- 19 referred to the conversation that they had had with
- 20 her because the conversation that I had on several
- 21 occasions, I have the names wrote down. And that
- 22 name doesn't match up with any names that I have.

1	JUDGE ALBERS: I understand what you are
2	saying. Is there a reason, though, you did not
3	identify Ms. Ingraham earlier as a potential witness
4	in this matter?
5	MS. CASEY: No, I didn't think that I needed
6	her until after I had reviewed. I kept saying why
7	are they saying they are talking to me. I have
8	never talked to that person. I have no knowledge of
9	who that is, period. Because it is referring as
10	though he talked to me. And the person that I
11	talked to regarding that issue is not that person,
12	unless I could just say that. I mean, she doesn't
13	really need to come in because he didn't have a
14	conversation with me at all.
15	Could you hold the line, please?
16	JUDGE ALBERS: Yes, I am looking through my
17	notes, too. Off the record.
18	(Whereupon there was
19	then had an
20	off-the-record
21	discussion.)

JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

- 1 Previously, Ms. Casey, I believe you
- 2 submitted on or about March 3 some direct testimony,
- 3 correct?
- 4 MS. CASEY: Correct.
- JUDGE ALBERS: And then I received the
- 6 testimony of Theresa Duboff (sp)
- 7 MS. SULLIVAN: That's correct.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: And Richard Williams on behalf
- 9 of Illinois-American, correct?
- MS. SULLIVAN: We filed, yes.
- 11 JUDGE ALBERS: And that was early March as
- 12 well.
- MS. CASEY: Right. See, that's what I am
- 14 saying. The only people that I talked to at the
- 15 water company, Richard Williams is not a name that I
- 16 talked to at all.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I have not reviewed the
- 18 testimony yet so I am not sure if that is someone
- 19 that said they spoke to you or not.
- 20 MS. CASEY: I think in the testimony he does
- 21 say that he talked to me.
- 22 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I mean, if that's what it

- 1 says, then it speaks for itself.
- MS. SULLIVAN: This is Mary Sullivan. I am
- 3 quickly looking through it. I don't --
- 4 MS. CASEY: Doesn't it say that he didn't talk
- 5 to me?
- 6 MS. SULLIVAN: I don't know what he testified
- 7 to. I was looking quickly to see if he referenced a
- 8 conversation with you. I haven't seen it yet but
- 9 that doesn't mean it isn't there.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: In any event, after that you had
- an opportunity to submit rebuttal testimony in
- writing, Ms. Casey, and I don't believe we received
- anything from you. Did you submit rebuttal
- 14 testimony?
- MS. CASEY: No, I didn't.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- MS. CASEY: Or did I -- wait, wait, wait. I
- 18 thought I did. Maybe I didn't. There is a
- 19 possibility I did not and I thought that I did.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, if you did, please let me
- 21 know because that means that I --
- 22 MS. CASEY: Let me look at my computer and I

- 1 can tell you if I did or not.
- 2 MS. SULLIVAN: On behalf of the company I do
- 3 not believe that we received any rebuttal testimony.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: I don't think I did either.
- 5 MS. CASEY: There is a possibility that I
- 6 didn't. I didn't say that I did; I just thought
- 7 that I did. I can tell you in just a minute.
- 8 However, if she is not allowed in, there will be no
- 9 way for me to, other than to say that I never had a
- 10 conversation with this person, I can't defend
- anything that he is saying because I didn't have a
- 12 conversation with him. I have no knowledge of who
- that is, period. I did have several conversations
- 14 with Theresa, however.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, at this point we are not
- even sure if Mr. Williams says he even spoke to you.
- 17 MS. SULLIVAN: I flipped through his testimony.
- I didn't see where he said that but it doesn't
- 19 mean -- I looked through it very quickly while we
- were continuing our conversation, so.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Right. I mean, it may very well
- 22 be in there. At this point we are not sure. But in

any event, had there been information or a statement that you wanted to rebut in his testimony, the opportunity -- I believe we had set that date for March 26 for your rebuttal testimony. Had there been any statements in the direct of the company that you wanted to respond to, March 26 would have been the opportunity to do so and that would have included providing the prepared testimony of any additional individuals.

MS. CASEY: Well, as I said, if she is not -like I said, as I spoke to her, she doesn't recall
that person being the people that is anyone that she
talked to either. So other than for her to say that
and for me to say, there would really be no other
testimony that she would be giving other than to
give the name of the person that she spoke with
which was a customer service representative and it
was not that person. You understand what I am
saying? So basically we will both be saying we
don't know who you are. We never talked to you.

JUDGE ALBERS: And if that's your testimony, that's fine. I mean, I am not telling you that

that's what you need to say. I am just saying that
if that's what you believe is appropriate, if you
believe that's how you should respond to that
testimony, that's for you to decide. I am concerned
about following the guidelines we set up earlier for
this proceeding.

MS. CASEY: Uh-huh, I understand.

JUDGE ALBERS: And I am afraid that if we tried to restructure things now, as I indicated earlier I am concerned about meeting the September deadline in this matter because after this -- you know, at this point I am not sure if there is any -- if either of you will say you need to submit a brief or a reply brief on this and that will eat up some time. There will be a need for a proposed order. You would both have an opportunity to submit exceptions in reply to that proposed order, telling me what you like and didn't like about the proposed order, and then it would have to go to the Commission. And we are coming up on the deadline on this and, quite frankly, July 19 is probably pushing it as it is.

MS. CASEY: Right.

not being enough time to finish this and certainly if we tried to factor in additional time to receive the prepared testimony of another witness, we would just be pushing that date back.	1	JUDGE ALBERS: So I am concerned about there
the prepared testimony of another witness, we would	2	not being enough time to finish this and certainly
	3	if we tried to factor in additional time to receive
just be pushing that date back.	4	the prepared testimony of another witness, we would
	5	just be pushing that date back.

MS. CASEY: That's what I am saying. Other than me just saying that that's not the person that she talked to, there wouldn't be a situation where she would testify anything other than to say this is who I spoke to, I didn't speak to you, I don't know who you had that conversation with. That would be the factor of her testimony. That's it. That will be the only thing that she will be testifying to.

JUDGE ALBERS: Well, Ms. Sullivan, do you have any objection to that?

MS. SULLIVAN: If the limited purpose of her testimony is just that, no, I don't have any objection.

JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And, Ms. Casey, then you understand that you are indicating that she would have very limited direct testimony to offer?

22 MS. CASEY: Right. All she is going to offer

- is this is who I spoke to, this is what was told to
- 2 me, I didn't speak to you, and that's it.

received up to this point.

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- MS. SULLIVAN: Now, that's more than just
 saying she didn't talk to Mr. Williams. If she is
 going to testify to conversations that she did have,
 that's more detail and more information than I
- MS. CASEY: She got the phone -- she got the
 first phone call from the water company; I didn't.

 She is just going to say, oh, they called me, told
 me they were shutting off the service based upon
 this, and that's it, and this was the person's name
 who I talked to. She didn't talk to anybody else.
 - JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I find myself between a rock and a hard place in that the company has no objection to limited additional direct despite the absence of having this information been provided earlier and not knowing for sure exactly what Ms. Ingraham would actually testify to, if that's going to open up potential problems for the company then, with the company.
- 22 MS. CASEY: Well, all she is testifying to, as

- 1 I stated earlier, is just she got a phone call on said date, she was told that the water was being 2 shut off because I have you down as an emergency 3 number and there is a substantial outdue balance, 4 5 and that's it, and give the name of the person and 6 she never talked to anybody else. Every other 7 conversation that was made with the water company 8 was made with me because that was when I got a phone call from her and I am like, what, what's going on. 9 She is like, well, I got a call today and this is 10 what they told me, and I don't know, let me go over 11 12 there.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, Ms. Sullivan, does that provide any more or less assurance to you?
- MS. CASEY: I mean, I can have that ready for her today. I mean, as to exactly what she is going to say and say no more.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I am wondering, though, to
 what extent whatever she says might -- had we seen
 this earlier, the company might have wanted to issue
 some discovery on that.
- 22 MS. CASEY: Well, it is in the note that I

- think on some of the bills but it reflects that her
- 2 name is in the file. Her name is in my file at the
- 3 water company as an emergency contact.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Well, I think at this
- 5 time I am hesitant to try to restructure what we
- 6 have already agreed to by allowing an additional
- 7 witness. So I am going to deny the request to add
- 8 an additional witness at this time.
- 9 Is there any other questions or concerns
- 10 for today?
- MS. CASEY: No, that's it.
- 12 MS. SULLIVAN: Not for the company.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And so we will meet again
- on September, I am sorry, July 19 at 9:00 a.m. for
- the evidentiary hearing, and as indicated we need to
- 16 have the hearing that day.
- MS. CASEY: Okay. So.
- 18 MS. SULLIVAN: Will we get a notice telling us
- 19 what hearing room that will be in?
- 20 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, it will be posted in the
- 21 foyer area.
- MS. SULLIVAN: When we come in?

1	JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah.
2	MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
3	MS. CASEY: So I just come to the commerce
4	building, right?
5	JUDGE ALBERS: I can answer these kind of
6	questions off the record.
7	MS. CASEY: Okay.
8	JUDGE ALBERS: Anything else for the record
9	then?
10	MS. CASEY: No, that's it.
11	MS. SULLIVAN: Not for the company.
12	JUDGE ALBERS: If there is nothing further,
13	then this matter is continued to 9:00 a.m. on July
14	19 for the evidentiary hearing.
15	(Whereupon the hearing
16	in this matter was
17	continued until July
18	19, 2004, at 9:00 a.m.
19	in Springfield,
20	Illinois.)
21	
22	